

ROBERT EUGENE ALLEN,)
)
 Petitioner,) 3:07-cv-00449-LRH-RAM
)
 vs.) **ORDER**
)
 JAMES BENEDETTI, *et al.*,)
)
 Respondents.)
 /

(*Id.*)

Petitioner's further state-court proceedings have apparently concluded, and petitioner has filed a motion to reopen this action. (ECF No. 37.) The motion is unopposed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion to reopen this action (ECF No. 37) is **GRANTED**. This action is **REINSTATED**.

///

///

1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the **clerk shall detach** the exhibits from petitioner's
2 motion to reopen case and file them separately as "Supplemental Exhibits to First Amended
3 Petition."

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that respondents shall have **forty-five (45) days** from the
5 date of this order to file and serve an Answer. Petitioner shall have **forty-five (45) days** following
6 service of the Answer to file and serve a Reply.

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that petitioner's motion to grant his request to reopen case
8 (ECF No. 38) is **DENIED as moot**.

9
10 DATED this 9th day of August, 2011.



11
12
13 _____
14 LARRY R. HICKS
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26